IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 8TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 19TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 1453 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:

SOUMYA N.B., AGED 21 YEARS,
D/O. NARAYANA BHAT, 31/58, LAKSHMI VIHAR,
CHAKOTH LANE, PONKUNNAM, THRISSUR-680 002.

BY ADV. SRI.DINESH MATHEW J.MURICKEN
SRI.K.VISWANATHAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT, REPRESENTED BY
THE REGISTRAR, CALICUT UNIVERSITY,
CALICUT, PIN-673 635.

2. CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATION,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY.

BY SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN, S.C.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN, J

W.P.(C).No.1453 OF 2007

Dated this the 8th day of February, 2007

JUDGMENT

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned standing counsel for the respondent.

2. Following the judgment in W.P(C).No.29459/06, the petitioner's answer papers for B.Com examination, in the papers for which she had failed, were revalued by the University and the decision communicated to the petitioner. In the revaluation, the petitioner secured marks lower than that she had originally secured. Accordingly, the University sustained the marks that she initially obtained. According to the petitioner, the decision of the University is without fair application of mind and to reek vengeance on her.

3. Having heard learned counsel for parties, I do not find the petitioner has made out any ground to interfere with the decision of the university. There is no ground to order any

expert valuation overriding the revaluation conducted by the University. The wit petition fails. The same is accordingly dismissed.

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
Judge

kkb.